



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,125	09/17/2001	Christophe Marcireau	A3233A US	2418
5487	7590 04/29/2004		EXAMINER	
ROSS J. OEHLER			SLOBODYANSKY, ELIZABETH	
AVENTIS PHARMACEUTICALS INC. ROUTE 202-206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL CODE: D303A			1652	
BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004	20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/744,125	MARCIREAU ET AL.6			
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD	1652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be available. SIX (6) MONTHS from the serious for reply specified if NO period for reply is specified. Failure to reply within the set of the serious specified.	F THIS COMMUNICATION. lable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply dd above, the maximum statutory period w extended period for reply will, by statute, later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on 22 Se	eptember 2003.				
2a) This action is FIN						
3) Since this applica	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1,3,7-16,20,25 and 45-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 3, 7-16, 20, 25, 45-53 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) file Applicant may not r Replacement drawi	equest that any objection to the one of sheet(s) including the correct	r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is obsaminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/744,125

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on September 22, 2003 amending claims 1, 3, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 20, 25, canceling claims 2, 4-6, 17-19, 21-24, 26-44 and adding claims 45-53 has been entered.

Claims 1, 3, 7-16, 20, 25 and 45-53 are pending.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 20 are objected to because of the following: MEKK should be spelled out and followed by the abbreviation in parenthesis. Claims 1 and 20 have been amended to recite "upstream threonine kinase (MEKK)". "upstream" refers to the location and is not the spelling of the abbreviation.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1, 3, 7-16, 20, 25 and 45-53, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, with dependent claims 3, 7-16, 48-53, and claim 20, with dependent claim 25, recite the term "MEKK interacting FHA protein (MIF1)". While "MIF" is the abbreviation of "MEKK interacting FHA", the metes and bounds of the term MIF1 as

Application/Control Number: 09/744,125

Art Unit: 1652

compared to MIF are not defined. It is unclear which sequences other than SEQ ID NO: 2 are encompassed by the term "MIF1".

Claim 48 is indefinite as dependent from itself. For the purposes of this examination, claim 48 is construed as dependent from claim 47.

Claims 11 and 48 are unclear as reciting "the plasmid DNA molecule of claim ..., further comprising a composition selected from the group consisting of a DNA condensing protein, a cationic lipid, a liposome, a polymer, and a DNA precipitating agent". A plasmid cannot contain the recited compounds, it contains a DNA. A composition can comprise a plasmid and the recited compounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 3, 7-16, 20, 25 and 45-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ren et al.

Ren et al. (May 1, 1998, form PTO-1449, reference B) teach the <u>protein</u> of 462 amino acids that <u>comprises SEQ ID NO:2</u> of the instant invention (page 735, Figure 1). They teach a DNA encoding thereof <u>comprising SEQ ID NO:1</u> of the instant invention (GenBank accession AF015308, specification at page 2, lines 13-15). Ren et al. teach vectors and host cells comprising said DNA and its expression.

Application/Control Number: 09/744,125

Art Unit: 1652

٠i

Ren et al. name the protein "58- kDa microspherule protein (MSP58)" and demonstrate its interaction with nucleolar protein p120. However, whether or not the interaction disclosed by Ren et al. ultimately represents the same function as is discussed in the instant application, the protein remains the same. As such, the protein MSP58 and DNA encoding thereof disclosed by Ren et al. anticipate claims 1, 3, 7-16, 20, 25 and 45-53.

Response to Arguments

The 112, 1st paragraph, rejection is withdrawn in view of the amendment.

Applicant's arguments filed September 22, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the 102(a) rejection, Applicants argue that "it is the position of the Examiner that Ren *et al.* disclose of protein MSP58 and the DNA that encodes the protein anticipate Claims 1-3, 6-16, 20-23 and 25-26. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. Initially, Applicants respectfully point out to the Examiner that in the instant Amendment, Claims 2, 4-6, 17-19, 21-24, and 26-44 have been canceled, without prejudice. Thus, this rejection with respect to Claims 2, 6, 21-23, and 26 is MOOT. Furthermore, Applicants respectfully submit that the subject matter of amended Claims 1, 3, 8-9, 11-12, 16, 20, and 25, and new Claims 45-53 is novel" (Remarks, page 12). This is not persuasive because while Applicants correctly characterize the examiner's position, they do not provide the reasons rendering it, in Applicants' view, incorrect.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD whose telephone number is 571-272-0941. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00 - 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, PhD can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD

Page 5

Primary Examiner